

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,  
*Plaintiff,*

V.

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, INC.

*Defendants.*

BLUE SPIKE, LLC,  
*Plaintiff,*

V.

AUDIBLE MAGIC CORPORATION,  
ET AL.

*Defendants.*

Civil Action No. 6:12-CV-499-LED

(LEAD CASE)

## JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Civil Action No. 6:12-CV-576-LED

(CONSOLIDATED WITH 6:12-CV-499)

## JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PHOTOBUCKET.COM, INC.'S ANSWER TO  
BLUE SPIKE LLC'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Defendant Photobucket.com, Inc. (“Photobucket”) answers the Original Complaint of Blue Spike LLC (“Blue Spike”) as follows:

## NATURE OF THE SUIT

1. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Photobucket admits that paragraph 1 purports to assert a claim arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, and in all other respects denies the allegations of paragraph 1.

**PARTIES**

2. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 2, and therefore denies them.

3. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 3, and therefore denies them.

4. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 4, and therefore denies them.

5. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 5, and therefore denies them.

6. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 6, and therefore denies them.

7. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 7, and therefore denies them.

8. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 8, and therefore denies them.

9. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 9, and therefore denies them.

10. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 10, and therefore denies them.

11. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 11, and therefore denies them.

12. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 12, and therefore denies them.

13. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 13, and therefore denies them.

14. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 14, and therefore denies them.

15. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 15, and therefore denies them.

16. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 16, and therefore denies them.

17. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 17, and therefore denies them.

18. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 18, and therefore denies them.

19. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 19, and therefore denies them.

20. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 20, and therefore denies them.

21. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 21, and therefore denies them.

22. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 22, and therefore denies them.

23. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 23, and therefore denies them.

24. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 24, and therefore denies them.

25. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 25, and therefore denies them.

26. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 26, and therefore denies them.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

27. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Photobucket admits that the Complaint purports to be an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.* Photobucket admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a) and 1331. Except as expressly admitted, Photobucket denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 27 that are directed to Photobucket.

28. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Photobucket denies that it is subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court. Photobucket does not waive any argument that this Court lacks jurisdiction over Photobucket by filing this answer. Photobucket denies that it has committed, contributed to, or induced any act of infringement. Photobucket denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 28 that are directed to Photobucket. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 28 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

29. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Photobucket denies that venue is

proper in the Tyler Division of the Eastern District of Texas, and denies that this judicial district is the most convenient forum for the parties and witnesses or in the interests of justice. By filing this answer, Photobucket does not waive any argument that venue is not proper in this District as to Photobucket. Photobucket denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Photobucket denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 29 that are directed to Photobucket. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 29 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

### **JOINDER**

30. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 30 that are directed to Photobucket. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 30 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

31. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 31, and therefore denies them.

32. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 32, and therefore denies them.

33. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 33, and therefore denies them.

34. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 34, and therefore denies them.

35. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 35, and therefore denies them.

36. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 36, and therefore denies them.

37. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 37, and therefore denies them.

38. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 38, and therefore denies them.

39. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 39, and therefore denies them.

40. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 40, and therefore denies them.

41. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 41, and therefore denies them.

42. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 42, and therefore denies them.

43. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 43, and therefore denies them.

44. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 44, and therefore denies them.

45. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 45, and therefore denies them.

46. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 46, and therefore denies them.

47. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 47, and therefore denies them.

48. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 48, and therefore denies them.

49. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 49, and therefore denies them.

50. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 50, and therefore denies them.

51. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 51, and therefore denies them.

52. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 52, and therefore denies them.

53. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 53, and therefore denies them.

54. Photobucket admits that it designs and develops software, systems, applications, and technology to store, create and share photos and videos. Photobucket admits that it operates the Photobucket website and admits that it has more than 23 million unique users. Photobucket denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Photobucket denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 54.

55. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 55, and therefore denies them.

56. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 56, and therefore denies them.

57. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 57, and therefore denies them.

58. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 58, and therefore denies them.

59. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 59, and therefore denies them.

60. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 60, and therefore denies them.

61. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 61, and therefore denies them.

62. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 62, and therefore denies them.

63. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 63, and therefore denies them.

64. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 64, and therefore denies them.

65. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 65, and therefore denies them.

66. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 66, and therefore denies them.



67. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 67, and therefore denies them.

68. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 68, and therefore denies them.

69. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 69, and therefore denies them.

70. Photobucket denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Photobucket denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 70 that are directed to Photobucket. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 70 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

71. Photobucket denies that a license for any of Blue Spike's patented technologies is necessary. Photobucket admits that it has not sought a license from Blue Spike. Photobucket denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 71 that are directed to Photobucket. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 71 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

72. Photobucket denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Photobucket denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 72 that are directed to Photobucket. Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 72 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

### **COUNT 1**

#### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,214,175**

73. Photobucket incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 72 above.

74. Photobucket admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 8,214,175 (“the ’175 patent”) is titled “Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals.” Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 74, and therefore denies them.

75. Photobucket admits that, on its face, the ’175 patent states that it was issued on July 3, 2012. Photobucket admits that the ’175 patent is attached as Exhibit A to the complaint. Photobucket denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 75.

76. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 76.

77. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 77.

78. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 78.

79. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 79.

80. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 80.

## **COUNT 2**

### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,949,494**

81. Photobucket incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 80 above.

82. Photobucket admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 7,949,494 (“the ’494 patent”) is titled “Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals.” Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 82, and therefore denies them.

83. Photobucket admits that, on its face, the ’494 patent states that it was issued on May 24, 2011. Photobucket admits that the ’494 patent is attached as Exhibit B to the complaint. Photobucket denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 83.

84. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 84.

85. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 85.

86. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 86.

87. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 87.

88. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 88.

### **COUNT 3**

#### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,660,700**

89. Photobucket incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 88 above.

90. Photobucket admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 7,660,700 (“the ’700 patent”) is titled “Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals.” Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 90, and therefore denies them.

91. Photobucket admits that, on its face, the ’700 patent states that it was issued on February 9, 2010. Photobucket admits that the ’700 patent is attached as Exhibit C to the complaint. Photobucket denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 91.

92. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 92.

93. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 93.

94. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 94.

95. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 95.

96. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 96.

### **COUNT 4**

#### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,346,472**

97. Photobucket incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 96 above.

98. Photobucket admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 7,346,472 (“the ’472 patent”) is titled “Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals.” Photobucket is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 98, and therefore denies them.

99. Photobucket admits that, on its face, the ’472 patent states that it was issued on March 18, 2008. Photobucket admits that the ’472 patent is attached as Exhibit D to the complaint. Photobucket denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 99.

100. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 100.

101. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 101.

102. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 102.

103. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 103.

104. Photobucket denies the allegations of paragraph 104.

### **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

Photobucket incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 104 above. Photobucket denies that Blue Spike is entitled to any relief whatsoever against Photobucket in this action, either as prayed for in the Original Complaint or otherwise.

Except as expressly admitted above, Photobucket denies each and every allegation contained in the Original Complaint.

### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

#### **FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

##### **(Forum Non Conveniens)**

1. The Eastern District of Texas is an inconvenient forum in which to litigate this action against Photobucket under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

**SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

**(Failure to State a Claim)**

2. The Original Complaint for Patent Infringement fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted.

**THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

**(Non-infringement)**

3. Photobucket has not and does not willfully or otherwise infringe, contribute to the infringement of, or actively induce others to infringe, any claim of the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents.

**FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

**(Invalidity)**

4. The '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents, including all of the claims, are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability specified in Title 35 of the United States Code, including Sections 101, 102, 103, and 112.

**FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

**(Failure to Mark)**

5. Prior to receiving a copy of the complaint in this action, Photobucket did not have notice of Blue Spike's allegations of infringement. Upon information and belief, neither Blue Spike nor Blue Spike's licensees have marked instrumentalities that embody any of the claims of the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents with proper notice of the patents in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287. Blue Spike is not entitled to any pre-filing damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 287 for any claims to which 35 U.S.C. § 287 applies.

**SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

**(Prosecution History Estoppel)**

6. Based on proceedings before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“PTO”) during the prosecution of the application that ultimately issued as the ’175, ’494, ’700 or ’472 patents, Blue Spike is precluded or otherwise estopped from asserting any construction of the claims of the ’175, ’494, ’700 or ’472 patents that is inconsistent with its or its predecessor(s)-in-interest’s representations before the PTO. On information and belief, Blue Spike is estopped from asserting any construction of the claims sufficiently broad to cover or include any product made, used, sold, offered for sale within the United States, or imported into the United States, by Photobucket.

**SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

**(Laches, Waiver, Acquiescence, Estoppel, and Unclean Hands)**

7. Blue Spike’s claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrines of laches, waiver, acquiescence, estoppel, and/or unclean hands.

**EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

**(Limitation on Damages and Remedies)**

8. Blue Spike’s claims and prayer for relief are barred in whole or in part by 35 U.S.C. §§ 286, 287, and/or 288.

**NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

**(Unavailability of Injunctive Relief)**

9. Blue Spike is not entitled to injunctive relief or any other equitable relief because any alleged injury to Blue Spike is not irreparable and because – had Blue Spike been injured – it would have an adequate remedy at law.

**TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

**(Lack of Standing/Failure to Join Necessary Party)**

10. Blue Spike lacks standing to bring a patent infringement action based on the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents and/or has failed to join a necessary party under Fed. R. Civ. P. 19.

**ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

**(Single Recovery Rule)**

11. Plaintiff's damages are barred in whole or in part by the single recovery rule.

**TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

**(License/Implied License/Patent Exhaustion)**

12. Plaintiff's damages are barred in whole or in part by the defenses of license, implied license, and/or patent exhaustion.

**COUNTERCLAIMS**

For its counterclaims against Blue Spike, Photobucket alleges as follows:

1. Photobucket incorporates what is set out in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
2. Blue Spike's complaint has established an actual and justiciable controversy between Blue Spike and Photobucket with respect to validity and infringement of the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents.
3. Photobucket brings these counterclaims without waiving its right to argue that venue in the Eastern District of Texas is improper and inconvenient.

**COUNT ONE**

**(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '175 Patent)**

4. Photobucket incorporates paragraphs 1-3 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

5. Blue Spike alleges that Photobucket has infringed the '175 patent, contributed to the infringement of and/or actively induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '175 patent by having made, made on its behalf, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and supported infringing methods, products and/or systems listed in the Complaint.

6. Photobucket does not and has not infringed, contributed to the infringement of, or induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe any claim of the '175 patent.

7. Photobucket is entitled to a declaratory judgment that it has not infringed and is not infringing the '175 patent.

### **COUNT TWO**

#### **(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '175 Patent)**

8. Photobucket incorporates paragraphs 1-7 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

9. One or more claims of the '175 patent are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability specified in Title 35 of the United States Code, including Sections 101, 102, 103 and 112.

10. Photobucket is entitled to a declaratory judgment that one or more claims of the '175 patent are invalid.

### **COUNT THREE**

#### **(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '494 Patent)**

11. Photobucket incorporates paragraphs 1-10 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.



12. Blue Spike alleges that Photobucket has infringed the '494 patent, contributed to the infringement of and/or actively induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '494 patent by having made, made on its behalf, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and supported infringing methods, products and/or systems listed in the Complaint.

13. Photobucket does not and has not infringed, contributed to the infringement of, or induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe any claim of the '494 patent.

14. Photobucket is entitled to a declaratory judgment that it has not infringed and is not infringing the '494 patent.

#### **COUNT FOUR**

##### **(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '494 Patent)**

15. Photobucket incorporates paragraphs 1-14 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

16. One or more claims of the '494 patent are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability specified in Title 35 of the United States Code, including Sections 101, 102, 103 and 112.

17. Photobucket is entitled to a declaratory judgment that one or more claims of the '494 patent are invalid.

#### **COUNT FIVE**

##### **(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '700 Patent)**

18. Photobucket incorporates paragraphs 1-17 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

19. Blue Spike alleges that Photobucket has infringed the '700 patent, contributed to the infringement of and/or actively induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '700 patent by having made, made on its behalf, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and supported infringing methods, products and/or systems listed in the Complaint.

20. Photobucket does not and has not infringed, contributed to the infringement of, or induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe any claim of the '700 patent.

21. Photobucket is entitled to a declaratory judgment that it has not infringed and is not infringing the '700 patent.

#### **COUNT SIX**

##### **(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '700 Patent)**

22. Photobucket incorporates paragraphs 1-21 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

23. One or more claims of the '700 patent are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability specified in Title 35 of the United States Code, including Sections 101, 102, 103 and 112.

24. Photobucket is entitled to a declaratory judgment that one or more claims of the '700 patent are invalid.

#### **COUNT SEVEN**

##### **(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '472 Patent)**

25. Photobucket incorporates paragraphs 1-24 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

26. Blue Spike alleges that Photobucket has infringed the '472 patent, contributed to the infringement of and/or actively induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '472 patent by having made, made on its behalf, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and supported infringing methods, products and/or systems listed in the Complaint.

27. Photobucket does not and has not infringed, contributed to the infringement of, or induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe any claim of the '472 patent.

28. Photobucket is entitled to a declaratory judgment that it has not infringed and is not infringing the '472 patent.

### **COUNT EIGHT**

#### **(Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '472 Patent)**

29. Photobucket incorporates paragraphs 1-28 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.

30. One or more claims of the '472 patent are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability specified in Title 35 of the United States Code, including Sections 101, 102, 103 and 112.

31. Photobucket is entitled to a declaratory judgment that one or more claims of the '472 patent are invalid.

### **PHOTOBUCKET'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Photobucket prays for relief as follows:

- A. That the Court enter judgment in favor of Photobucket, and against Blue Spike;
- B. That the Court find the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents not infringed by Photobucket;

- C. That the Court find the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents invalid;
- D. That Blue Spike take nothing by its Original Complaint for Patent Infringement against Photobucket;
- E. That the Court find this action exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and award Photobucket its costs and fees in this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees;
- F. That the Court grant Photobucket such other and further relief as it deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Photobucket demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: December 3, 2012

By:

/s/ Eric H. Findlay

Eric H. Findlay (Texas Bar No. 00789886)  
Walter W. Lackey, Jr. (Texas Bar No. 24050901)  
FINDLAY CRAFT, LLP  
6760 Old Jacksonville Hwy, Suite 101  
Tyler, TX 75703  
Telephone: (903) 534-1100  
Facsimile: (903) 534-1137  
efindlay@findlaycraft.com  
wlackey@findlaycraft.com

I. Neel Chatterjee – *LEAD ATTORNEY*  
Gabriel M. Ramsey  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP  
1000 Marsh Road  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Telephone: (650) 614-7400  
Facsimile: (650) 614-7401  
gramsey@orrick.com  
nchatterjee@orrick.com

Christopher J. Higgins  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP  
Columbia Center  
1152 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005-1706

Telephone: (202) 339-8400  
Facsimile: (202) 339-8500  
chiggins@orrick.com

Attorneys for Defendant  
PHOTOBUCKET.COM, INC.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served electronically on opposing counsel pursuant to Local Rule CV-5(a)(7)(C) on December 3, 2012.

/s/ Eric H. Findlay  
Eric H. Findlay